

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,704	06/25/2003	Takashi Ohta	113002.01	113002.01 9964	
25944 75	90 04/25/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BER	RIDGE, PLC		GILMAN, ALEXANDER		
P.O. BOX 1992	8				
ALEXANDRIA	, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2833		
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/25/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

bracket	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,704	OHTA ET AL.	
Ì	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander D. Gilman	2833	

	Alexander D. Gilman	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviserent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	O WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	· —	because		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)) :		,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	•	vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the prior art discloses all of the claim limitations.			ince because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
13.	Oller	ADER GILMAN	14/18/05		
	ALEXA	NDER GILMAN			

PRIMARY EXAMINER



Creation date: 04-26-2005

Indexing Officer: TASRAT - TSEHAY ASRAT

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 10644608

Legal Date: 04-25-2005

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	CTRS	4
2	FWCLM	1

Total number of pages: 5
Remarks:
Order of re-scan issued on